Interview Summary	Application No. 09/062,113	Applicant(s) Goto et al.	
	Examiner David S. Romeo	Group Art Unit 1646	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) _David S. Romeo_   (3) _Christine Vito_

(2) _Ronda Moore_   (4) _____

Date of Interview _____ _18 Feb 2000_ _____

Type: ☒ Telephonic   ☐ Personal (copy is given to   ☐ applicant   ☐ applicant's representative).

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: ☐ Yes   ☒ No. If yes, brief description:

_____

_____

Agreement   ☐ was reached.   ☒ was not reached.

Claim(s) discussed: _____

Identification of prior art discussed:

_____

_____

Description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments:
The examiner explained there were 78 groups in the restriction requirement; for the purposes of showing distinctness
among the polynucleotides and polypeptides all the polynucleotide groups (groups I-LVI) and all the polypeptide groups
(groups LVII-LXXVIII) were grouped together. In order to be responsive Applicants must elect a single group. Applicants
asked if restriction made in parent of the instant application would influence the instant restriction; examiner could not see
that it would have an influence. The examiner requested a showing of structural and functional relatedness of the instant
polynucleotides or polypeptides as a prerequisite to a possible rejoining of some of the groups.

_____

_____

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments, if available, which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendents which would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

1. ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview.

Unless the paragraph above has been checked to indicate to the contrary, A FORMAL WRITTEN RESPONSE TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION IS NOT WAIVED AND MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a response to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW.

2. ☐ Since the Examiner's interview summary above (including any attachments) reflects a complete response to each of the objections, rejections and requirements that may be present in the last Office action, and since the claims are now allowable, this completed form is considered to fulfill the response requirements of the last Office action. Applicant is not relieved from providing a separate record of the interview unless box 1 above is also checked.

DAVID ROMEO
PATENT EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign and stamp this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.